

DATA FOR PROGRESS

From February 21 to 22, 2025, Data for Progress conducted a survey of 1,206 U.S. likely voters nationally using web panel respondents. The sample was weighted to be representative of likely voters by age, gender, education, race, geography, and recalled presidential vote. The survey was conducted in English. The margin of error associated with the sample size is ± 3 percentage points. Results for subgroups of the sample are subject to increased margins of error. Partisanship reflected in tabulations is based on self-identified party affiliation, not partisan registration. For more information please visit dataforprogress.org/our-methodology.

NB: subgroups with a n-size less than 50 (<50) are not shown on these cross-tabs. We choose not to display N<50 subgroups because the sample is too small to have statistical significance. We did, however, take samples of these subgroups for representational and weighting purposes to accurately reflect the electorate makeup. Some values may not add up to 100 due to rounding.

N=1,206 unless otherwise specified.

[1] Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the following people or institutions?

— U.S. National Park Service (NPS)

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very favorable	41	47	42	34	40	41	34	44	37	48	27	46	39
Somewhat favorable	34	28	37	38	33	36	32	35	35	32	34	33	28
Somewhat unfavorable	4	6	2	4	3	6	7	3	5	4	10	4	7
Very unfavorable	2	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	2	3	2	6
Haven't heard enough to say	18	17	17	20	22	14	24	15	20	14	26	16	21
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	75	75	79	72	73	77	66	79	72	80	61	79	67
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	6	8	4	7	5	9	10	5	8	6	13	6	13
FAVORABLE (NET)	+69	+67	+75	+65	+68	+68	+56	+74	+64	+74	+48	+73	+54
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162

[2] Do you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of the following people or institutions?

— **U.S. Forest Service**

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very favorable	41	47	42	34	39	43	34	44	37	47	30	44	41
Somewhat favorable	33	25	33	40	34	31	29	35	33	32	28	34	23
Somewhat unfavorable	5	6	4	4	3	7	7	3	5	5	7	4	9
Very unfavorable	2	2	2	3	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	3
Haven't heard enough to say	20	22	19	19	23	16	28	16	23	15	32	17	24
FAVORABLE (TOTAL)	74	72	75	74	73	74	63	79	70	79	58	78	64
UNFAVORABLE (TOTAL)	7	8	6	7	4	10	9	5	7	7	9	5	12
FAVORABLE (NET)	+67	+64	+69	+67	+69	+64	+54	+74	+63	+72	+49	+73	+52
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162

[3] Do you think the federal government should increase, decrease, or keep funding the same for national parks and forests?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
We should increase funding for national parks and forests	30	40	30	21	31	29	38	26	29	32	37	29	34
We should keep funding the same for national parks and forests	53	52	55	54	52	54	43	58	52	55	47	55	49
We should decrease funding for national parks and forests	10	4	7	16	8	11	10	9	10	9	6	11	10
Don't know	7	4	8	9	8	6	8	7	8	5	10	6	7
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162

[4] How much have you seen, read, or heard about the U.S. Forest Service and National Park Service recently laying off workers?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
A lot	19	24	21	13	19	19	20	19	15	26	21	21	18
A little	44	48	40	42	42	46	46	43	46	41	44	43	44
Nothing at all	37	27	40	45	39	35	34	39	39	33	35	36	38
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162

[5] The U.S. Forest Service and National Park Service recently laid off more than 3,000 workers in an effort to cut federal spending.

Workers who were terminated included park rangers, staff at park visitor centers, and staff who clean and maintain trails.

Knowing this, how concerned are you about these staffing cuts?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Very concerned	34	49	38	18	38	29	31	35	30	41	37	33	39
Somewhat concerned	32	34	31	31	34	30	39	28	35	26	35	30	36
Only a little concerned	21	13	19	31	18	25	21	22	21	21	19	23	18
Not at all concerned	12	5	11	20	10	15	9	14	13	11	9	14	8
CONCERNED (TOTAL)	66	83	69	49	72	59	70	63	65	67	72	63	75
NOT CONCERNED (TOTAL)	33	18	30	51	28	40	30	36	34	32	28	37	26
CONCERNED (NET)	+33	+65	+39	-2	+44	+19	+40	+27	+31	+35	+44	+26	+49
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162

[6] Which of the following, if any, is the **top concern** you have about the recent staff layoffs at the U.S. Forest Service and National Park Service?

Response	Topline	Democrat	Independent / Third party	Republican	Female	Male	Under 45	45+	No College	College	Black or African American	White	Latino
Increased risk of wildfires	14	14	14	15	14	14	15	14	15	14	14	15	17
Reduced visitor safety	10	11	9	10	10	10	7	12	12	7	19	10	9
Trash build-up on trails and in parks	5	5	3	6	4	6	6	4	4	6	5	5	3
Poor trail and park maintenance	10	6	11	14	10	11	8	12	11	9	4	12	8
Economic impacts (e.g., from job losses, park closures)	16	20	18	12	17	16	13	18	15	20	21	16	17
Long lines to visit parks and forests	2	2	<0.5	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2
Reduction in environmental education programs	2	1	1	2	1	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	2
Loss of precious natural areas	10	13	12	6	11	9	12	9	8	14	9	10	8
Harm to wildlife	12	13	11	11	15	8	17	9	13	10	11	11	19
Losing access to closed parks and forests	9	8	9	11	8	11	7	10	9	10	8	9	9
Increased risk of flooding and erosion	2	3	1	1	1	2	3	1	2	2	2	2	2
Don't know	8	4	10	10	8	8	7	8	9	6	5	8	5
Weighted N	1,206	456	266	484	643	563	399	807	777	429	131	859	162